



## **Groundwater Report**

**Spring 2009**

**San Joaquin County  
Flood Control and Water Conservation District**



# **San Joaquin County Flood Control and Water Conservation District**

## **Board of Supervisors**

Leroy Ornellas, Chairman  
Carlos Villapudua, Vice Chairman  
Steve J. Bestolarides  
Larry Ruhstaller  
Ken Vogel

## **Flood Control Engineer Director of Public Works**

Thomas R. Flinn

## **Chief Deputy Director of Public Works**

Thomas M. Gau

## **Report Prepared by:**

### **DISTRICT STAFF**

Mel Lytle, Ph.D. ....Water Resources Coordinator  
Brandon Nakagawa ..... Water Resources Engineer  
Gerardo Dominguez .....Engineer II



© San Joaquin County Department of Public Works, Stockton, 2009

Copies of the Spring 2009 Groundwater Report may be purchased for \$30 and 36"X48" Contour Maps for \$25 each from:

San Joaquin County Department of Public Works  
P.O. Box 1810  
Stockton, California 95201

Make checks payable to: San Joaquin County Department of Public Works



## Acknowledgements

. . .

This Groundwater Report is a product of the commitment that the San Joaquin County Flood Control and Water Conservation District together with many other interested agencies made to sustain and enhance the groundwater resources of the Eastern San Joaquin Basin. The District extends thanks to...

California Water Service

City of Lathrop

City of Lodi

City of Manteca

City of Stockton Municipal Utilities Department

East Bay Municipal Utility District

Libby-Owens-Ford, Lathrop

Morada Area Association

Newark Sierra Paperboard Company

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

San Joaquin County Department of Public Works

State of California, Department of Water Resources, Central District

Stockton East Water District

United States Bureau of Reclamation

United States Geological Survey

Most of all, we would like to thank all of the individual well owners, who give us access to their wells and in some cases some of their time.



This page intentionally left blank

# Table of Contents

. . .

Acknowledgements .....	iii
Table of Contents .....	v
Spring 2009 Groundwater Report .....	vii
Introduction .....	vii
Purpose .....	vii
Procedure .....	viii
Section 1–Rainfall Distribution .....	1-1
Summary of Rainfall Distribution .....	1-1
Annual Rainfall Distribution .....	1-2
Figure 1-1: Total Annual Rainfall (Stockton Fire Station 4) .....	1-2
Figure 1-2: Total Annual Rainfall (Tracy Carbona Station) .....	1-3
Figure 1-3: Total Annual Rainfall (Camp Pardee) .....	1-4
Figure 1-4: Total Annual Rainfall (Lodi Station) .....	1-5
Monthly Rainfall Distribution .....	1-6
Figure 1-5: Monthly Rainfall Distribution (Stockton Fire Station 4) .....	1-6
Figure 1-6: Monthly Rainfall Distribution (Tracy Carbona Station) .....	1-6
Figure 1-7: Monthly Rainfall Distribution (Camp Pardee) .....	1-7
Figure 1-8: Monthly Rainfall Distribution (Lodi Station) .....	1-7
Section 2 – Groundwater Elevation Monitoring .....	2-1
Summary of Groundwater Elevations .....	2-1
Table 2-1: Comparison of BCID Area Spring Water Levels .....	2-2
Table 2-2: Comparison of CSJWCD Area Spring Water Levels .....	2-2
Table 2-3: Comparison of NSJWCD Area Spring Water Levels .....	2-3
Table 2-4: Comparison of OID Area Spring Water Levels .....	2-4
Table 2-5: Comparison of SEWD Area Spring Water Levels .....	2-5
Table 2-6: Comparison of SSJID Area Spring Water Levels .....	2-7
Table 2-7: Comparison of WID Area Spring Water Levels .....	2-7
Table 2-8: Comparison of Miscellaneous County Area Spring Water Levels .....	2-8
Figure 2-1: Well Hydrograph Locations .....	2-10
Figure 2-2: Spring Hydrograph Well A .....	2-11
Figure 2-3: Spring Hydrograph Well B .....	2-12
Figure 2-4: Spring Hydrograph Well C .....	2-13
Figure 2-5: Spring Hydrograph Well D .....	2-14
Figure 2-6: Spring Hydrograph Well E .....	2-15
Figure 2-7: Spring Hydrograph Well F .....	2-16
Figure 2-8: Spring Hydrograph Well G .....	2-17
Figure 2-9: Spring Hydrograph Well H .....	2-18

Figure 2-10: Spring Hydrograph Well I.....	2-19
Figure 2-11: Spring Hydrograph Well J .....	2-20
Figure 2-12: Spring Hydrograph Well K .....	2-21
Figure 2-13: Spring Hydrograph Well L .....	2-22
Figure 2-14: Spring Hydrograph Well M .....	2-23
Figure 2-15: Spring Hydrograph Well N .....	2-24
Figure 2-16: Spring Hydrograph Well O .....	2-25
Figure 2-17: Spring Hydrograph Well P.....	2-26
Figure 2-18: Spring Hydrograph Well Q .....	2-27
Figure 2-19: Spring Hydrograph Well R .....	2-28
Figure 2-20: Spring Hydrograph Well S.....	2-29
Figure 2-21: Spring Hydrograph Well T .....	2-30
Figure 2-22: Spring Hydrograph Well U .....	2-31
Figure 2-23: Spring Hydrograph Well V .....	2-32
Figure 2-24: Spring Hydrograph Well W .....	2-33
Figure 2-25: Spring Hydrograph Well X.....	2-34
Figure 2-26: Spring Hydrograph Well Y .....	2-35
Figure 2-27: Spring Hydrograph Well Z .....	2-36
Figure 2-28: Cross Section Alignments.....	2-37
Figure 2-29: Highway 99 Cross Section Spring 2009 .....	2-38
Figure 2-30: Highway 4 & Highway 26 Cross Section Spring 2009 .....	2-39
Figure 2-31: Jacktone Rd Cross Section Spring 2009 .....	2-40
Figure 2-32: Lines of Equal Elevation of Groundwater Spring 2009.....	2-41
Figure 2-33: Lines of Equal Depth to Groundwater Spring 2009 .....	2-42

# **San Joaquin County Flood Control and Water Conservation District Spring 2008 Groundwater Report**

## **Introduction**

Since the fall of 1971, the San Joaquin County Flood Control and Water Conservation District has monitored groundwater levels and groundwater quality and has published the data in the Semi-annual Groundwater Report. This report utilizes data from federal, state and local government agencies as well as non-governmental sources.

Water level data is collected on a semi-annual basis, during the months of April and October, to observe groundwater levels before and after peak groundwater pumping conditions. Over 550 wells, of which 300 are measured by County staff, are included in the Monitoring Program. The exact number of wells varies from year to year, depending on circumstances such as destructions, new well construction, well accessibility, and well condition.

## **Purpose**

The purpose of the Semi-annual Groundwater Report is to provide information on groundwater conditions in San Joaquin County and to publish the results of the groundwater monitoring program which consists of the following:

1. Monitor groundwater quality along a North-South line from the north of the City of Stockton to the City of Lathrop.
2. Measure groundwater levels on a County-wide basis.

In general, water quality data is more meaningful after peak production which usually occurs during the summer months. Therefore, groundwater quality data will be published only in the fall report. The groundwater depth and elevation data will be published both in the spring and fall.

Saline intrusion from the west is a continuing concern affecting the quality of groundwater in the Basin. Groundwater quality analysis is completed on an annual basis, from approximately 18 municipal and domestic supply wells (exact number varies from year to year) located in proximity to the saline front.



## **Procedure**


Groundwater quality sampling is conducted on an annual basis during the month of October, along with the Fall Measurements. Approximately 18 wells are currently sampled. The exact number of wells may vary depending on well access and other conditions. Replicate groundwater samples (two) are analyzed for Chloride (Cl<sup>-</sup>) using the Thomas Scientific 675 pH/ISE meter in conjunction with the ISE Cl<sup>-</sup> Combination Electrode, and analyzed for Electrical Conductivity (EC) using DiST 3 by Hanna Instruments. Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) are calculated using the formula:  $TDS = 0.64 \times EC$  (umhos). Data is then stored in a database for accessibility and reporting requirements.

Water Level Measurements are performed with the use of either a steel chain or sounder. Data is then immediately recorded in field books and then stored in a database for accessibility and reporting requirements.


## **Section 1– Annual Rainfall Distribution**

### **Summary of Annual Rainfall Distribution**


The groundwater basin in San Joaquin County responds to changes in annual precipitation. There are four total annual precipitation graphs and four monthly precipitation graphs included in this report (Figures 1-1 through 1-8). These graphs reflect three areas located across San Joaquin County and one area in Calaveras County. The station located at the Stockton Fire Station No. 4, as well as the station located in Tracy Carbona, has pertinent beginning in 1940. Lodi station has data from 1949 to 2009. The Camp Pardee station has data available from 1949 to 2009.




**Figure 1-1: Total Annual Rainfall (Stockton Fire Station 4)**



**Figure 1-2: Total Annual Rainfall (Tracy Carbona Station)**



**Figure 1-3: Total Annual Rainfall (Camp Pardee)**



**Figure 1-4: Total Annual Rainfall (Lodi Station)**

## Monthly Rainfall Distribution




Figure 1-5: Monthly Rainfall Distribution (Stockton Fire Station 4)




Figure 1-6: Monthly Rainfall Distribution (Tracy Carbona Station)




Figure 1-7: Monthly Rainfall Distribution (Camp Pardee)




Figure 1-8: Monthly Rainfall Distribution (Lodi Station)



This page intentionally left blank

## **Section 2 – Groundwater Elevation Monitoring**

### **Summary of Groundwater Elevations**

The information contained in the Spring 2009 Groundwater Report is summarized as follows:

#### **GROUNDWATER LEVELS**

Banta-Carbona Irrigation District (BCID) – Two wells were compared in the BCID area. Both wells decreased in groundwater.

Central San Joaquin Water Conservation District (CSJWCD) – Fifty-one wells were measured in CSJWCD. Thirty-eight show decreases in groundwater levels. Nine wells show an increase in groundwater levels. One well's groundwater level remained constant.

North San Joaquin Water Conservation District (NSJWCD) – Thirty-six wells were measured in NSJWCD. Thirty-two wells decreased in groundwater levels. Three wells increased in groundwater levels. One well experienced no change in groundwater level.

Oakdale Irrigation District (OID) – Four wells were measured in the OID area. All four wells show a decrease in groundwater levels.

South San Joaquin Irrigation District (SSJID) – Fifteen wells were measured in the SSJID area. Fourteen wells show decreases in groundwater levels. One well experienced no change in groundwater level.

Stockton East Water District (SEWD) – Eighty-two wells were measured in SEWD. Sixty-five wells decreased in groundwater levels. Twelve wells show increases in groundwater levels. Two wells experienced no change in groundwater level.

Woodbridge Irrigation District (WID) – Twenty-five wells were measured in the WID. Twenty-one wells decreased in groundwater levels. Two wells show increases in groundwater levels. Two wells experienced no change in groundwater level.

Miscellaneous County Areas – Twenty-five wells measured across the County in areas that are not a part of any major irrigation district. Fifteen wells descended in groundwater levels. Ten wells increased in groundwater levels.

**Table 2-1 Comparison of BCID Water Levels**

State Well	Spring 2009	Spring 2008	Change
02S06E31N001	51.0	53.0	-2.0
03S06E27N001	72.8	73.8	-1.0
<b>Total Number of Wells</b>			<b>2</b>
<b>Number of Wells with Decrease</b>			<b>2</b>
<b>Number of Wells with Increase</b>			<b>0</b>
<b>Number of Wells with No Change</b>			<b>0</b>
<b>Range of Change</b>			<b>-2.0 to -1.0</b>
<b>Average Change</b>			<b>-1.5</b>

**Table 2-2 Comparison of CSJWCD Area Water Levels**

State Well	Spring 2009	Spring 2008	Change
01N07E11L001	-35.0	-32.0	-3.0
01N07E11M001	-35.2	-31.2	-4.0
01N07E13J002	-40.0	-44.5	4.5
01N07E14J002	-35.6	-38.6	3.0
01N07E15M002	-33.0	-32.0	-1.0
01N07E24A001	-35.6	-37.6	2.0
01N07E24R001	-35.0	-49.5	14.5
01N07E26H003	-32.0	-30.0	-2.0
01N08E07M001	-52.6	-49.6	-3.0
01N08E11L001	-34.0	-33.0	-1.0
01N08E13J001	-19.7	-16.7	-3.0
01N08E16G001	-32.7	-30.2	-2.5
01N08E16H002	-31.0	-28.5	-2.5
01N08E18A002	-33.5	-30.5	-3.0
01N08E22J001	-29.0	-26.0	-3.0
01N08E26A002	-17.3	-13.3	-4.0
01N08E27R002	-33.0	-19.5	-13.5
01N08E29M002	-57.0	----	----
01N08E35F001	-23.9	-21.9	-2.0
01N08E36F001	-20.0	-8.0	-12.0
01N09E01C001	-4.7	16.3	-21.0
01N09E05J001	-7.0	-7.0	0.0
01N09E06N001	-16.5	-22.0	5.5
01N09E13D001	36.0	21.0	15.0
01N09E15B002	3.5	4.5	-1.0
01N09E17D001	-12.5	-23.5	11.0
01N09E17M001	-14.5	-21.5	7.0
01N09E19C001	-19.0	-18.0	-1.0
01N09E29R001	-3.5	1.0	-4.5
01N09E30C005	-14.7	-11.7	-3.0
01N09E31J001	1.6	4.1	-2.5

State Well	Spring 2009	Spring 2008	Change
01S07E01J001	-22.1	-19.1	-3.0
01S07E02J001	-20.5	-25.5	5.0
01S07E12H001	-14.5	-13.0	-1.5
01S08E04R001	-25.0	-15.0	-10.0
01S08E05A001	-23.9	----	----
01S08E05R001	-20.3	----	----
01S08E06D001	-22.6	-20.1	-2.5
01S08E09Q001	-14.9	-9.9	-5.0
01S08E11F001	-11.9	-5.4	-6.5
01S08E12B001	-0.7	0.8	-1.5
01S08E14B001	0.8	1.8	-1.0
01S08E15P001	-2.8	-0.3	-2.5
01S08E20B001	-3.2	-1.2	-2.0
01S08E23A001	4.0	5.5	-1.5
01S09E05H002	10.0	13.5	-3.5
01S09E07A001	7.2	8.7	-1.5
01S09E07N001	9.7	11.2	-1.5
01S09E09R001	15.8	16.8	-1.0
01S09E18R003	18.5	20.5	-2.0
01S09E19Q002	23.5	24.5	-1.0
<b>Total Number of Wells</b>			<b>51</b>
<b>Number of Wells with Decrease</b>			<b>38</b>
<b>Number of Wells with Increase</b>			<b>9</b>
<b>Number of Wells with No Change</b>			<b>1</b>
<b>Range of Change</b>			<b>-21.0 to 15.0</b>
<b>Average Change</b>			<b>-1.5</b>

**Table 2-3 Comparison of NSJWCD Area Water Levels**

State Well	Spring 2009	Spring 2008	Change
03N06E36N001	-33.8	-26.8	-7.0
03N07E03R001	-16.8	-12.8	-4.0
03N07E08E002	-20.0	-17.0	-3.0
03N07E09C001	-18.7	-15.7	-3.0
03N07E15C004	-27.5	-25.5	-2.0
03N07E17D004	-24.4	-19.9	-4.5
03N07E17K002	-30.0	-26.5	-3.5
03N07E18D012	-24.5	-22.5	-2.0
03N07E19J004	-36.0	-35.0	-1.0
03N07E23C002	-32.0	-31.0	-1.0
03N07E25G001	-46.3	-38.3	-8.0
03N08E19C001	-38.3	-36.3	-2.0
03N08E22A001	-38.5	-36.5	-2.0
04N06E12C004	-24.5	-13.5	-11.0
04N06E23K00	-2.5	-3.5	1.0
04N06E24F001	-16.0	-9.5	-6.5
04N06E25R001	-2.0	-0.5	-1.5

<b>State Well</b>	<b>Spring 2009</b>	<b>Spring 2008</b>	<b>Change</b>
04N06E27D002	15.7	16.2	-0.5
04N07E07A001	-32.5	----	----
04N07E12E001	-30.5	-26.0	-4.5
04N07E17N001	-24.8	-27.8	3.0
04N07E19K001	-14.6	-13.6	-1.0
04N07E21F001	-19.3	-15.8	-3.5
04N07E27C002	-20.5	-23.0	2.5
04N07E28J002	-21.7	-16.2	-5.5
04N07E33H001	25.5	26.0	-0.5
04N07E36L001	-17.5	-15.5	-2.0
04N08E06N002	-30.7	-26.7	-4.0
04N08E14K001	0.9	2.9	-2.0
04N08E17A001	-17.3	-14.3	-3.0
04N08E17J001	-19.5	-18.0	-1.5
04N08E21M001	-23.1	-22.1	-1.0
04N08E32N001	-28.1	-26.1	-2.0
05N06E36R001	-29.8	-23.3	-6.5
05N07E34G001	-44.1	-32.1	-12.0
05N07E34Q001	-30.9	-30.4	-0.5

<b>Total Number of Wells</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>Number of Wells with Decrease</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>Number of Wells with Increase</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Number of Wells with No Change</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Range of Change</b>	<b>-12.0 to 3.0</b>
<b>Average Change</b>	<b>-3.0</b>

**Table 2-4 Comparison of OID Area Water Levels**

<b>State Well</b>	<b>Spring 2009</b>	<b>Spring 2008</b>	<b>Change</b>
01S09E21J002	43.5	45.0	-1.5
01S09E23N001	55.0	55.5	-0.5
01S09E24R001	70.1	72.6	-2.5
01S09E28M002	37.7	43.7	-6.0

<b>Total Number of Wells</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Number of Wells with Decrease</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Number of Wells with Increase</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Number of Wells with No Change</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Range of Change</b>	<b>-6.0 to -0.5</b>
<b>Average Change</b>	<b>-2.6</b>

Table 2-5 Comparison of SEWD Area Water Levels

State Well	Spring 2009	Spring 2008	Change
01N06E27R002	-7.2	-0.2	-7.0
01N07E01A002	-41.0	-39.0	-2.0
01N07E01M002	-48.0	----	----
01N07E02G001	-36.5	-35.5	-1.0
01N07E03M001	7.0	8.0	-1.0
01N07E04R001	-27.0	-18.0	-9.0
01N07E09E004	-28.5	-31.0	2.5
01N07E09Q003	-37.5	-36.0	-1.5
01N07E10D001	-30.0	-29.0	-1.0
01N07E19G001	-24.0	----	----
01N07E20G001	-32.0	-37.5	5.5
01N08E03P001	-37.0	-42.0	5.0
01N08E04E001	-42.0	-34.0	-8.0
01S06E01C002	-7.0	-6.0	-1.0
01S06E10G001	-4.3	-3.3	-1.0
01S07E06M002	-8.0	-7.0	-1.0
01S07E08J002	-5.5	-5.0	-0.5
02N06E24F001	-42.5	-30.5	-12.0
02N07E03D001	-50.5	-49.5	-1.0
02N07E08D001	-51.7	-49.2	-2.5
02N07E08K003	-49.5	-44.5	-5.0
02N07E11F001	-48.0	-44.0	-4.0
02N07E11R002	-52.0	-48.5	-3.5
02N07E15C001	-56.3	-47.3	-9.0
02N07E16F002	-50.4	-43.9	-6.5
02N07E16L001	-42.3	-45.8	3.5
02N07E20N002	-40.0	-34.5	-5.5
02N07E21A002	-49.8	-47.3	-2.5
02N07E21K002	-47.0	-42.5	-4.5
02N07E23B001	-50.0	-48.0	-2.0
02N07E24B001	-58.1	-42.1	-16.0
02N07E24Q001	-54.0	-47.0	-7.0
02N07E26N001	-46.2	-43.2	-3.0
02N07E28K002	-48.5	-45.0	-3.5
02N07E28N004	-37.0	-36.0	-1.0
02N07E29M002	-38.0	-34.0	-4.0
02N07E30E001	-37.5	-35.5	-2.0
02N07E30H001	-37.0	-35.0	-2.0
02N07E31M001	-30.8	-23.3	-7.5
02N07E32J002	-36.0	-28.0	-8.0
02N07E32M002	-34.5	-28.0	-6.5
02N07E32R001	-35.6	-27.6	-8.0
02N07E33L001	-36.0	-30.0	-6.0
02N07E34R001	-32.0	-27.5	-4.5
02N07E35L001	-44.0	-43.0	-1.0
02N07E36H001	-50.0	-46.5	-3.5
02N08E03G002	-31.7	-36.7	5.0
02N08E04C001	-39.5	-39.0	-0.5

<b>State Well</b>	<b>Spring 2009</b>	<b>Spring 2008</b>	<b>Change</b>
02N08E05C001	-50.5	-50.5	0.0
02N08E08N001	-45.5	-48.5	3.0
02N08E09G002	-44.0	-40.0	-4.0
02N08E10H002	-38.6	-35.6	-3.0
02N08E12C002	-38.2	-19.2	-19.0
02N08E13K001	-30.6	-28.1	-2.5
02N08E14C001	-37.5	-47.0	9.5
02N08E15M002	-38.2	-37.7	-0.5
02N08E16D001	-43.1	-43.1	0.0
02N08E18C001	-59.2	-60.7	1.5
02N08E20F001	-46.3	-43.8	-2.5
02N08E24J001	-84.1	-73.1	-11.0
02N08E24P001	-36.4	-30.4	-6.0
02N08E28H002	-47.6	-46.1	-1.5
02N08E32L002	-45.2	-35.2	-10.0
02N08E33E001	-42.1	-38.6	-3.5
02N09E03A001	93.1	82.1	11.0
02N09E04H001	56.1	57.1	-1.0
02N09E05H001	-1.3	0.2	-1.5
02N09E08N001	-25.4	-19.4	-6.0
02N09E09D001	-2.3	-12.3	10.0
02N09E18Q001	-31.6	-30.1	-1.5
02N09E22D001	-2.4	-5.4	3.0
02N09E28N001	-20.1	-2.1	-18.0
03N07E35C002	-41.8	-36.8	-5.0
03N07E35L001	-47.0	-36.5	-10.5
03N07E36J001	-40.8	-33.3	-7.5
03N08E27R001	-39.0	-37.0	-2.0
03N09E25R001	89.5	90.0	-0.5
03N09E36G001	88.2	85.2	3.0
02N06E03A003	-29.8	-27.3	-2.5
02N06E06C002	-13.0	-12.0	-1.0
02N06E13R002	-32.0	----	----
02N06E24J002	-37.3	-30.3	-7.0

<b>Total Number of Wells</b>	<b>82</b>
<b>Number of Wells with Decrease</b>	<b>65</b>
<b>Number of Wells with Increase</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>Number of Wells with No Change</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Range of Change</b>	<b>-19.0 to 11.0</b>
<b>Average Change</b>	<b>-3.1</b>

**Table 2-6 Comparison of SSJID Area Water Levels**

State Well	Spring 2009	Spring 2008	Change
01S07E25E001	12.5	13.5	-1.0
01S07E26G001	12.0	13.0	-1.0
01S07E27K001	13.0	13.0	0.0
01S09E29M002	36.0	36.5	-0.5
01S09E34A001	60.0	61.0	-1.0
02S07E07D002	10.0	11.0	-1.0
02S07E11N002	33.5	34.0	-0.5
02S07E19H001	20.0	21.0	-1.0
02S07E26B001	27.0	30.0	-3.0
02S08E04M001	26.5	32.5	-6.0
02S08E06J001	24.5	25.5	-1.0
02S08E07R001	35.0	48.0	-13.0
02S08E08A001	29.5	31.5	-2.0
02S08E08E001	28.7	29.2	-0.5
02S09E03K001	61.5	70.5	-9.0
<b>Total Number of Wells</b>			<b>15</b>
<b>Number of Wells with Decrease</b>			<b>14</b>
<b>Number of Wells with Increase</b>			<b>0</b>
<b>Number of Wells with No Change</b>			<b>1</b>
<b>Range of Change</b>			<b>-13.0 to 0.0</b>
<b>Average Change</b>			<b>-2.7</b>
<b>Standard Deviation</b>			
<b>Standard Error</b>			

**Table 2-7 Comparison of WID Area Water Levels**

State Well	Spring 2009	Spring 2008	Change
03N05E13L001	-11.0	-8.0	-3.0
03N05E14C001	-4.8	-3.8	-1.0
03N06E05N003	-11.0	-8.5	-2.5
03N06E07H003	-10.0	-11.5	1.5
03N06E10D001	-13.4	-7.4	-6.0
03N06E17A004	-19.7	-18.7	-1.0
03N06E18M003	-14.1	-12.6	-1.5
03N06E20D002	-16.5	-15.5	-1.0
03N06E26P002	-27.2	-20.7	-6.5
03N06E27E001	-27.2	-24.2	-3.0
03N06E30R001	-21.0	-19.0	-2.0
03N06E32R001	-25.0	-21.0	-4.0
04N05E09D001	-5.3	-5.3	0.0
04N05E10K001	-3.0	-2.5	-0.5
04N05E13H001	-2.5	0.0	-2.5
04N05E13R004	-3.0	-1.0	-2.0
04N05E14B002	-1.9	4.1	-6.0
04N05E14P001	0.0	1.0	-1.0
04N05E22H001	-7.0	-5.5	-1.5



<b>State Well</b>	<b>Spring 2009</b>	<b>Spring 2008</b>	<b>Change</b>
04N05E24J004	-0.6	2.4	-3.0
04N05E26F001	0.7	-5.3	6.0
04N05E36H003	-1.5	0.5	-2.0
04N06E29N002	-2.5	0.0	-2.5
04N06E30E001	1.7	2.7	-1.0
05N05E32M001	-4.2	-4.2	0.0
<b>Total Number of Wells</b>			<b>25</b>
<b>Number of Wells with Decrease</b>			<b>21</b>
<b>Number of Wells with Increase</b>			<b>2</b>
<b>Number of Wells with No Change</b>			<b>2</b>
<b>Range of Change</b>			<b>-6.5 to 6.0</b>
<b>Average Change</b>			<b>-1.8</b>

**Table 2-8 Comparison of Miscellaneous Area Water Levels**

<b>State Well</b>	<b>Spring 2009</b>	<b>Spring 2008</b>	<b>Change</b>
01S05E31R002	1.1	1.1	0.0
01S06E04J001	-0.5	0.0	-0.5
01S06E14F001	0.4	0.4	0.0
01S07E13J001	-7.0	1.5	-8.5
01S07E14M001	2.9	3.9	-1.0
01S07E14P003	2.2	-2.8	5.0
01S07E15F002	-0.1	4.4	-4.5
01S08E19R001	9.3	8.3	1.0
01S08E29K001	12.0	11.5	0.5
01S08E30C002	10.5	9.0	1.5
01S09E02R001	33.3	39.8	-6.5
01S09E11J002	40.2	37.2	3.0
02N06E04F001	-25.3	----	----
02N06E17G001	-28.7	----	----
02N07E06P002	-47.8	----	----
02N07E07E001	-44.4	----	----
02N07E18H002	-48.7	----	----
02N07E26H003	-53.0	-45.5	-7.5
02N07E28P001	-45.0	-42.0	-3.0
02N07E29B001	-40.5	-38.5	-2.0
02S04E15R001	52.0	53.5	-1.5
02S05E08B001	-0.7	-4.7	4.0
02S06E10K001	3.0	4.0	-1.0
02S06E25J001	13.5	15.5	-2.0
02S06E26B001	6.0	7.5	-1.5
02S06E27E001	10.0	9.0	1.0
02S07E31N001	12.0	12.5	-0.5
03N06E15C004	-18.3	-16.8	-1.5
03N06E29C001	-24.3	-23.3	-1.0
03N07E21L003	-31.0	-30.0	-1.0
03S05E04H001	56.5	57.5	-1.0

<b>State Well</b>	<b>Spring 2009</b>	<b>Spring 2008</b>	<b>Change</b>
03S06E03F002	14.5	13.5	1.0
03S06E23C001	22.8	23.3	-0.5
04N05E03D003	-2.7	-3.7	1.0
04N06E06N012	-1.6	2.4	-4.0
04N06E15B002	-10.2	-5.7	-4.5
04N06E34J002	17.4	15.4	2.0

<b>Total Number of Wells</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>Number of Wells with Decrease</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>Number of Wells with Increase</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>Number of Wells with No Change</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Range of Change</b>	<b>-8.5 to 5.0</b>
<b>Average Change</b>	<b>-1.0</b>

## HYDROGRAPHS






Figure 2-1: Well Hydrograph Locations



**Figure 2-2: Spring Hydrograph Well A**



**Figure 2-3: Spring Hydrograph Well B**




Figure 2-4: Spring Hydrograph Well C




Figure 2-5: Spring Hydrograph Well D




Figure 2-6: Spring Hydrograph Well E






Figure 2-7: Spring Hydrograph Well F





Figure 2-8: Spring Hydrograph Well G



**Figure 2-9: Spring Hydrograph Well H**




Figure 2-10: Spring Hydrograph Well I




Figure 2-11: Spring Hydrograph Well J




Figure 2-12: Spring Hydrograph Well K




Figure 2-13: Spring Hydrograph Well L




Figure 2-14: Spring Hydrograph Well M






Figure 2-15: Spring Hydrograph Well N




Figure 2-16: Spring Hydrograph Well O




Figure 2-17: Spring Hydrograph Well P




Figure 2-18: Spring Hydrograph Well Q




Figure 2-19: Spring Hydrograph Well R




Figure 2-20: Spring Hydrograph Well S




Figure 2-21: Spring Hydrograph Well T




Figure 2-22: Spring Hydrograph Well U







Figure 2-23: Spring Hydrograph Well V



**Figure 2-24: Spring Hydrograph Well W**




Figure 2-25: Spring Hydrograph Well X




Figure 2-26: Spring Hydrograph Well Y




Figure 2-27: Spring Hydrograph Well Z




Figure 2-28: Cross Section Alignments





Figure 2-29: Highway 99 Cross Section Spring 2009



**Figure 2-30: Highway 4 & Highway 26 Cross Section Spring 2009**






Figure 2-31: Jacktone Rd Cross Section Spring 2009




Figure 2-32: Lines of Equal Elevation of Groundwater Spring 2009




Figure 2-33: Lines of Equal Depth to Groundwater Spring 2009